

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/24/2006

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/666,634	4 09/17/2003		Amr Hassan O'Baid	844,004-301	8973
34263	7590	08/24/2006		EXAMINER	
O'MELVE		YERS LLP TER DRIVE	DOERRLER, WILLIAM CHARLES		
17TH FLOC		IER DRIVE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEWPORT	BEACH,	CA 92660	3744		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commence	10/666,634	O'BAID ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	William C. Doerrler	3744					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D/ Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 Ju</u>	ine 2006.						
_	action is non-final.						
· <u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-12 and 25</u> is/are pending in the	application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-12 and 25</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
•							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 September 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	_						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Oπice	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed 1-29-2004 and 2-17-2005 fail to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited foreign patent document; each non-patent literature publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

The US and Foreign references from all the IDS forms have been considered. The Scharen article is not in the file, so it has not been considered. The Lewis article from the 2-17-05 IDS is not in the file, but a different article by Lewis et al from a different Journal is in the file, but has not been cited.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation

under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-4,6-12 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chase (either 6,331,498 or 6,112,526) in view of Zapach et al. Chase discloses applicants' basic inventive concept, a receiver 16 for telecommunications with a cryocooler 22 to cool the receiver with the cryocooler having a heat rejector 30 with is thermally coupled to a finned heat exchanger 34 through heat pipes 28 (stainless steal tubes with ammonia used as the refrigerant), substantially as claimed with the exception of specifying how the heat pipes are fastened to the heat rejector, or specifying the materials used for the rejector. Zapach et al show c-shaped recesses to be known in the heat pipe to heat rejector fastening art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicants' invention from the teaching of Zapach et al to modify the cryocooled receiver of Chase by using c-shaped recesses to mount the heatpipes to ensure high surface area for the heat transfer. In regard to the specific material for the heat rejector, Official Notice is taken that copper is well known as a relatively inexpensive material with high thermal conductivity and is commonly used for heat exchangers and as such would have been an obvious modification for an ordinary practitioner in the art.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 6-5-2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. It is unclear how a "c-shaped recess" as claimed, is any different from a "semi-circular vertical groove" as found in Zapach. Any semi-circular cross sectional opening could also be called a "c-shaped" opening. Thus, the cited reference teaches all of applicant's currently claimed structure. The fact that Zapach placed the heat pipes into the semi-circular grooves, means that the heat pipes are now contained by the grooves. The heat pipes of Zapach are clearlt "disposed" in the grooves, which is the only limitiation being claimed between the grooves and the heatpipes, other than heat conduction between them (which the reference also shows). Thus Zapach teaches all that is lacking in the primary reference. The fact that there may be differences between applicant's DISCLOSED invention and Zapach, in no way changes the fact that Zapach discloses all the structure that applicant is currently claiming that is not shown in Chase.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William C. Doerrler whose telephone number is (571) 272-4807. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl Tyler can be reached on (571) 272-4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

William C Doerrler Primary Examiner Art Unit 3744

WCD